Obituary: Joseph S. Nye Jr. (1937–2025)

DOUBRAVKA OLŠÁKOVÁ	Institute of Political Science, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
E-MAIL	doubravka.olsakova@fsv.cuni.cz
ORCID	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0600-2942

ABSTRACT

This obituary pays tribute to Joseph S. Nye Jr. (1937–2025), one of the most influential thinkers in international relations of the late 20th and early 21st centuries. It traces his intellectual evolution from the early critique of realist orthodoxy to the formulation of key concepts such as soft power, smart power, and neoliberal institutionalism. The text highlights Nye's dual role as a scholar and a policymaker, emphasizing his ability to translate theory into actionable foreign policy, notably during his service under the Carter and Clinton administrations. It reflects on Nye's enduring efforts to embed ethics and legitimacy into strategic thinking and leadership, which ultimately shaped the global vocabulary of power. The obituary also situates Nye's work in dialogue with figures such as Henry Kissinger, and revisits his influence on U.S.–Asia relations, NATO enlargement, and debates on American decline. The text concludes with some reflections on Nye's legacy as a moral voice in foreign policy and a diagnostician of the changing nature of power in an interconnected world.

KEYWORDS	Joseph S. Nye Jr., soft power, smart power, neoliberal institutionalism, IR theory, U. S. foreign policy
DOI	https://doi.org/10.32422/cjir.1914
PUBLISHED ONLINE	3 July, 2025

INTRODUCTION

Few figures have reshaped the global understanding of power as profoundly – or as enduringly – as Joseph S. Nye Jr., whose passing on May 6, 2025 at the age of 88 marked the closing of a career that bridged scholarship and strategy, ethics and influence.

Educated at Princeton (1954–1958), Oxford (1958–1960), and Harvard (1964–1968) – where he would spend the majority of his academic life (1968–2004) – Nye developed his ideas in reaction to history's turning points: the Cold War (KEOHANE – NYE – HOFFMANN 1994), the unipolar moment (NYE 2002), the global war on terror (NYE – WELCH 2017), and the rise of China (NYE 2015). His work was not confined to classrooms or think tanks, as Joseph S. Nye served as Deputy to the Under Secretary of State for Security Assistance, Science and Technology (1977–1979) under President Jimmy Carter. In this role, he contributed to U.S. nuclear non-proliferation policy and strategic arms control (notably SALT II), and integrated science and technology into foreign policy. Later, under Clinton's administration, he helped shape U.S. responses to the shifting global landscape as Chair of the National Intelligence Council (1993–1994) and Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs (1994–1995).

Joseph Nye's intellectual influence transcended borders as surely as it transcended disciplinary boundaries. As both scholar and practitioner, Nye navigated the fraught terrain between theory and policy with unusual clarity. Nye's thinking emerged from a deep conviction that in the modern world, power could no longer be understood solely in terms of military or economic force. He spoke instead of "the changing nature of power" in an interconnected, media-saturated, and increasingly multipolar world.

His books have been translated into over a dozen languages, and his core concepts have become part of the strategic vocabulary not only in the United States, but also in China, Japan, the European Union, and beyond. While he never intended soft power to serve as a blueprint, his work shaped how governments understood legitimacy, persuasion, and global reputation in the post-Cold War era. *"What is soft power? It is the ability to get what you want through attraction rather than coercion or payments. It arises from the attractiveness of a country's culture, political ideals, and policies"*. And he

continues: *"When our policies are seen as legitimate in the eyes of others, our soft power is enhanced"* (NYE 2004: X). For Nye, influence depended as much on narratives, values, and legitimacy as on troops or trade.

In some cases, his ideas were adopted for purposes quite distant from his own liberal convictions – an irony Nye acknowledged with his characteristic clarity, noting that *"soft power is not good or bad in itself. Value judgments depend on the ends, means, and consequences of an action. [...] Osama bin Laden neither threatened nor paid the men who flew aircraft into the World Trade Center in September 2001: he attracted them by his ideas to do evil"* (NYE 2017A).

Nye's appointment as Dean of the John F. Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University (1995–2004) marked a new phase in his intellectual journey – one focused increasingly on leadership and ethics. As Dean of the Harvard Kennedy School, he reoriented the school's mission for a global century, building programs that trained leaders from emerging democracies and fragile states alongside those from established powers.

In shaping a generation of global policymakers, Nye confronted a recurring question: how should leaders exercise power in a world that defies easy choices? It was this concern that animated *The Powers to Lead* (NYE 2008) and culminated in *Do Morals Matter? Presidents and Foreign Policy from FDR to Trump* (NYE 2020). These works reflected his conviction that influence divorced from responsibility is ultimately corrosive. As dean, he institutionalized his belief in ethical leadership through curriculum, cross-border fellowships, and policy labs. Nye did not view ethics as the antithesis of realism, but as its necessary complement: leaders need to understand not only the instruments of power, but also the principles that give those instruments meaning. This moral dimension became the closing arc of his intellectual life – one that sought not just to redefine power, but to humanize it.

THE ARCHITECT OF A NEW LANGUAGE OF POWER

Nye's time at Harvard was not merely academic; it was formative. Appointed to the faculty in the late 1960s, he came of age intellectually during a moment when American power was being questioned – both abroad and on campus. Harvard became for Nye a crucible of

interdisciplinary ferment: surrounded by nuclear theorists, economists, and area specialists, he absorbed debates that would later inform his challenge to realist orthodoxy. At the same time, he grew skeptical of abstraction untethered from policy relevance. His early work on nuclear non-proliferation, energy diplomacy, and international regimes already reflected a pragmatist's concern: theory should guide decision-makers, not merely describe the world (ALLISON – CARNESALE – NYE 1988). It was in this environment, and in collaboration with Robert Keohane, that Nye's vision of power as institutional and relational first crystallized.

Together with Robert Keohane, Nye helped found what came to be known later as the neoliberal institutionalist school of thought. Their landmark book *Power and Interdependence* (KEOHANE – NYE 1977) and their earlier co-edited volume *Transnational Relations and World Politics* (KEOHANE – NYE 1972) broke with the prevailing realism of the time by arguing that the international system was not defined solely by conflict and zero-sum competition, but by a dense web of cooperation, institutions, non-state actors and mutual vulnerability. *"Realism bore the brunt of our critique, and our quarrels with aspects of liberalism were subdued. As a result of our rhetorical barbs at realism, our approach is sometimes labelled simply as 'liberal.' Yet this characterization of Power and Interdependence is highly misleading..."* (IBID.: XXI). Power and Interdependence was not just a theoretical intervention; it was an argument that even in an anarchic system, structure matters, and so do ideas.

Regarding realism, Nye spent his career in a sustained yet evolving dialogue with its core tenets – which was nowhere more clear than in his engagement with Henry Kissinger, whose towering presence defined an earlier generation of American foreign policy thought. While Kissinger's realism emphasized balance-of-power logic and raison d'état, Nye proposed an alternative model, one that brought institutions, legitimacy, and ethical reasoning into the strategic calculus. As a young scholar, he studied Kissinger's diplomacy with a measure of admiration for its historical sweep and intellectual rigor, but also questioned its moral detachment and limited conception of influence. Where Kissinger saw a world shaped by hard constraints, Nye perceived the growing importance of norms, perception, and mutual interdependence.

In later years, Nye's evolving theories of soft and smart power served not as a repudiation of Kissinger's realism but as its necessary adaptation to a more complex and interconnected world. Rather than dismissing Kissinger's statecraft, Nye broadened the strategic vocabulary to include intangible assets: cultural attraction, institutional trust, and moral credibility. Their occasional public dialogues were marked by principled disagreement and mutual regard – with Nye critiquing Kissinger's neglect of norms, and Kissinger acknowledging Nye's insights into a world increasingly governed by information flows, global narratives, and asymmetric threats. In this intellectual interplay, Nye positioned himself not as a rival, but as a successor who sought to update American grand strategy for an age where power flowed not only from coercion, but also from consent.

Such a reconceptualization of power introduced several key features that distinguished *Power and Interdependence* as a foundational work. First, it identified multiple channels of interaction – not just between governments, but also among transnational actors such as corporations, NGOs, and international institutions. Second, it challenged the notion of a strict hierarchy of issues, arguing that economic, environmental, and social concerns could rival military issues in importance. Third, it emphasized that military force was often ineffective or irrelevant in many areas of international relations, particularly where interdependence made coercion costly or counterproductive.

This intellectual move laid the groundwork for Nye's broader rethinking of what power means in the modern world. In *Bound to Lead: The Changing Nature of American Power* (NYE 1990), he took aim at the then-fashionable narrative of American decline, arguing instead that the United States retained immense resources of influence – as long as it understood how to use them wisely. Building on his earlier work, *Bound to Lead* advanced Nye's thesis by distinguishing between hard power – the ability to coerce through military or economic means – and what he would later coin as soft power: the ability to attract and co-opt rather than compel. In this 1990 volume, Nye systematically dismantled the popular "declinist" literature of the 1980s, arguing that metrics of decline overlooked America's structural advantages, such as its global network of alliances, cultural appeal, technological innovation, and institutional leadership. He emphasized that in a post-industrial, information-driven world, true

power derives not only from traditional resources but also from credibility, values, and the legitimacy of policy objectives.

Crucially, *Bound to Lead* reframed power as a multidimensional concept: military strength mattered, but so did education systems, pop culture, and diplomatic norms. This analytical shift anticipated the post-Cold War world, in which dominance would not be ensured by force alone. Nye's argument carried powerful implications for U.S. foreign policy: it was not just about overwhelming rivals but also about setting the international agenda, shaping narratives, and commanding global respect. Nye's vision remains a cornerstone for understanding how modern states must cultivate influence in both material and ideational dimensions.

That insight became the foundation for Nye's most influential idea: soft power. In *Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics* (NYE 2004A), he gave full form to a concept he had previously introduced, offering both a theoretical framework and a practical guide to understanding how influence functions in an age of global media, transnational civil society, and digital saturation. He argued that a country's ability to attract – through its culture, political values, and moral legitimacy – could achieve what coercion or payments could not. Soft power, as Nye described it, was non-coercive by nature. It worked not through commands but through credibility; not through force, but through attraction. In a world increasingly shaped by perception, the consistency of a nation's actions with its declared ideals, its capacity to inspire admiration and emulation, and the resonance of its cultural output became central to its strategic influence. From universities and film industries to public diplomacy and NGOs, Nye emphasized that the tools of modern power were dispersed far beyond the traditional realm of statecraft.

Linking back to the evolving discussion of power in *Bound to Lead*, *Soft Power* served as both a warning and a prescription for U.S. foreign policy: military and economic tools alone were inadequate for maintaining leadership in a world of rising powers and competing narratives. Nye cautioned that abusing hard power – through unilateral interventions or disregard for global norms – could undercut America's soft power by breeding resentment and skepticism. Today, this framework remains acutely relevant and Nye's concept provides a lens for understanding why perceived legitimacy,

moral authority, and narrative framing are as vital to international influence as aircraft carriers or trade agreements.

BRIDGING POWER AND POLICY: THEORY IN ACTION

Joseph Nye was not only a theorist of power – he was one of the rare scholars who repeatedly stepped into the arena to test his ideas against the realities of policymaking. Moving with ease between Harvard and Washington, Nye embodied the role of the public intellectual who refuses to remain on the sidelines. His government service, spanning two presidential administrations, reflected his belief that theory should not merely interpret the world but help shape it.

Under President Jimmy Carter, Nye served as Deputy to the Under Secretary of State for Security Assistance, Science, and Technology (1977–1979), an office that reflected his early concern with the intersection of innovation, diplomacy, and strategic stability. A decade later, in the 1990s, he returned to the government in more senior roles: first as Chair of the National Intelligence Council (1993–1994), and then as Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs (1994–1995) under President Bill Clinton. In these positions, Nye helped articulate U.S. strategy during a time of profound transition – from the Cold War bipolarity to a more fragmented and uncertain global order. He helped craft key post-Cold War strategic forecasts for the U.S., influencing the Clinton-era foreign policy toward Russia, China, and emerging powers. He was closely involved in shaping the policy toward East Asia, and navigating the relations with a resurgent China and a nuclear North Korea, while also contributing to frameworks for post-Soviet security and nonproliferation. Nye was a leading figure in the enlargement of NATO and the deepening of U.S. security alliances, especially those in Asia.

Nye maintained a particularly influential relationship with Japan, where his ideas on soft power and strategic credibility found receptive ground. As Assistant Secretary of Defense, he authored the 1995 "Nye Report," officially titled "The United States Security Strategy for the East Asia-Pacific Region," which reaffirmed America's security commitment to East Asia and became a cornerstone of the U.S.–Japan alliance. The report explicitly recommended maintaining a forward-deployed presence

of approximately 100,000 U.S. troops in the region – primarily stationed in Japan and South Korea – as a stabilizing force to deter aggression, reassure allies, and uphold the post-Cold War security architecture. In Japan, this meant reinforcing the importance of U.S. bases such as those in Yokosuka, Okinawa, and Misawa, while promoting a vision of shared responsibility and mutual strategic interests that redefined the bilateral alliance for a new era. In recognition of his contributions to the bilateral cooperation, the Japanese government awarded him the prestigious Order of the Rising Sun in 2004.

Nye's dual role as scholar and policymaker allowed him to test his theories under pressure. His service in the Carter and Clinton administrations came during periods of strategic recalibration from détente to post-Cold War transition. These were not abstract eras: they presented urgent challenges that demanded the very conceptual clarity Nye had long advocated. At the National Intelligence Council, he grappled with how emerging threats – from non-state actors to technological disruption – could undermine traditional deterrence. As Assistant Secretary of Defense, he helped frame the U.S. engagement in East Asia around both power and reassurance, anticipating the balance of hard and soft instruments he would later label "smart power." His writings from this period, including *Bound to Lead* and *The Paradox of American Power*, drew directly from these policy experiences, offering a framework that blended institutional insight with strategic foresight. Nye's career stands as a rare case where the laboratory of theory met the urgency of statecraft.

What distinguished Nye's approach was his conviction that strategic thinking must be informed by structural insight. His writings during and after his time in the government make clear that he viewed American power not as an unchallenged given, but as a resource that must be stewarded with foresight. In *The Paradox of American Power* (NYE 2002), Nye offered a strategic and structural critique of post-Cold War U.S. foreign policy, warning against the illusion of unipolar dominance in a world increasingly shaped by economic globalization, asymmetric threats, and the diffusion of information. While acknowledging the unprecedented breadth of America's military and economic capabilities, he argued that these strengths were insufficient for addressing emerging global challenges – such as terrorism, climate change, pandemics, and cyber threats – that could not be resolved

through unilateral action or brute force. In a world of growing complexity and interdependence, a dominance based on coercion alone would be both unsustainable and counterproductive. What mattered, Nye insisted, was legitimacy, partnership, and institutional credibility: *"The United States must realize that its success in a global information age depends on whether it can lead through attraction as well as coercion"* (NYE 2004A: 5).

The core paradox, as Nye framed it, was this: although the U.S. was the world's sole superpower, its ability to achieve its goals increasingly depended on cooperation with others. In a networked world where non-state actors, global markets, and information flows shape international outcomes, leadership requires more than dominance – it demands legitimacy, trust, and institutional alignment. Nye thus emphasized the importance of investing in international norms, alliances, and soft power assets that foster long-term influence rather than short-term compliance.

Nye's engagement in the Trilateral Commission offered a practical platform to translate these principles into institutional strategy very early on, which proved to be very beneficial. The Trilateral Commission, established in 1973 by David Rockefeller and Zbigniew Brzezinski, was conceived as a forum to promote a closer cooperation between North America, Western Europe, and Japan in response to shifting global economic and political dynamics. It emerged from concerns that the United States could no longer manage global challenges unilaterally and needed a more structured dialogue with its key democratic allies. Nye, then a rising academic voice for interdependence, played a foundational role in shaping the intellectual framework of the Commission during its early years in the 1970s. His work on transnational relations and complex interdependence directly informed the Commission's agenda, emphasizing that global issues – such as energy, trade, and security – required coordinated, multilateral approaches rather than unilateral U.S. action. Nye remained actively involved in the Commission throughout the 1970s and into the 1980s, helping to legitimize the Commission as a venue for an elite policy dialogue that influenced U.S. foreign policy thinking in the post-Vietnam era (NYE – BIEDENKOPF – SHIINA 1991).

Later, Nye approached the rise of Asia – especially China – not with alarmism, but with strategic prudence (Nye 2023). While acknowledging the dramatic economic and military ascent of China, he repeatedly cautioned against the narrative of an inevitable American decline or a great power conflict (Nye 2015: 46–70). Power, in his view, is not a zero-sum game, particularly in an age of complex interdependence and transnational threats. In a 2017 essay, Nye warned not only of the well-known Thucydides Trap (Allison 2017) – the risk of war being sparked by fear of a rising power – but also of the lesser-known Kindleberger Trap, in which the rising power fails to assume leadership responsibilities once held by the established hegemon (Nye 2017b). Managing China's rise, then, requires more than deterrence; it demands cooperation, rule-based engagement, and investment in global public goods. Nye argued that the U.S. should neither contain nor concede, but compete and cooperate simultaneously – sustaining alliances, projecting soft power, and strengthening institutions.

This perspective directly reinforces the structural insight: American power, to be sustainable, must be guided not just by capacity but by strategic restraint and systemic awareness. Nye's call for a "smart strategy" that would blend hard and soft power – what he would later label "smart power" – was a prescient warning against overreach in the post-9/11 era, when U.S. credibility was strained by military interventions and unilateralism. His conception of smart power proved especially prescient in this context, as it balanced strategic firmness with diplomatic agility. For Nye, the challenge was not who would dominate, but whether both powers could avoid the traps of history and co-author a stable, pluralistic order.

This concern for strategic balance culminated in *The Future of Power* (Nye 2011), where Nye introduced the concept of smart power – the ability to combine hard and soft power in ways that are responsive to context. In a world where cybersecurity threats, global pandemics, populist movements, and climate crises defy traditional power tools, leadership must be adaptive and multi-dimensional. Nye stressed that contextual intelligence – the skill to read environments, align instruments of power appropriately, and recognize the difference between influence and control – was the hallmark of effective statecraft in the new era.

In *The Future of Power,* Joseph Nye brought together decades of theoretical development and real-world policy experience to chart how power was evolving in the 21st century. He distinguished between power "over" others (coercive or commanding power) and power "with" others (collaborative or co-optive power), emphasizing that the digital revolution and the rise of non-state actors were transforming the international landscape. He argued that power was no longer held exclusively by states and militaries; it now flowed through networks, narratives, and technological platforms, shifting the global balance toward a more diffuse and decentralized structure.

This strategic vision tied directly into Nye's long-standing concern with legitimacy, perception, and institutional credibility. He warned against the seductions of unilateralism and brute coercion, urging policymakers to recognize that information environments amplify credibility and reputation, and that coercion without trust is often self-defeating. As in his earlier work, Nye underscored that power is not static or singular – it is relational, and its exercise must be strategic rather than impulsive.

In this synthesis of scholarly analysis and policy pragmatism, Nye offered a vision of statecraft that was strategic but not cynical, and principled but not naïve. He remained a consistent advocate for an America that led by example, understanding that in a globalized and increasingly contested world, influence would flow not only from might, but also from meaning.

CONSCIENCE OF STRATEGY: POWER, LEADERSHIP, AND MORAL RESPONSIBILITY

In the later stages of his career, Joseph Nye increasingly turned to a question that he believed lay at the heart of enduring leadership: what does it mean to exercise power responsibly? Moving beyond structural theories of international relations, Nye began to explore the ethical dimension of statecraft: the ways in which leadership, legitimacy, and morality intersect in the real-world choices of presidents and policymakers.

In *The Powers to Lead* (Nye 2008), Nye brought together insights from political science, history, psychology, and international relations to examine not just what makes leaders effective, but what makes them ethical.

Advancing his lifelong inquiry into the nature of power, he turned inward: toward the psychology, ethics, and practical skills of individual leadership. Drawing on the idea of "soft power" at the personal level, Nye argued that leadership is not reducible to formal authority or positional power, but emerges from the ability to mobilize others around shared goals through credibility, empathy, and narrative framing.

In contrast to the dominant command-and-control models, Nye emphasized persuasion, emotional resonance, and moral clarity as essential attributes of leadership in a networked and interdependent age. This perspective echoed his broader argument that power is relational and co-dependent – governed as much by trust and legitimacy as by formal control – and the same principles apply to both global influence and domestic leadership.

Central to Nye's analysis was his concept of "smart leadership," a corollary to smart power. He posited that effective leaders must harness a blend of hard and soft traits – decisiveness and diplomacy, vision and pragmatism – and, most importantly, must possess contextual intelligence, the ability to assess environments and adapt strategies accordingly. This is not simply a matter of effectiveness but of ethical responsibility: leaders should be judged not only by their outcomes, but by how they use power: whether they manipulate or elevate, dominate or empower.

This ethical dimension connected seamlessly to Nye's long-standing concerns about legitimacy and attraction in statecraft. Where *Soft Power* and *The Future of Power* explored how states exert influence, *The Powers to Lead* mapped that logic onto individuals, showing that moral credibility, emotional intelligence, and narrative coherence are just as essential to presidents and policymakers as they are to diplomats and strategists.

In doing so, Nye illuminated a core insight running through all his work: power is most enduring when it is earned, not imposed. Just as nations cannot lead effectively without legitimacy, so too leaders cannot govern meaningfully without trust. In a time of disinformation, populist demagoguery, and institutional erosion, Nye's call for reflective, emotionally attuned, and morally grounded leadership remains more urgent than ever.

In *Do Morals Matter? Presidents and Foreign Policy from FDR to Trump* (Nye 2020), Joseph Nye brought his lifetime of scholarship full circle by directly confronting the ethical dimension of international leadership. In this book, rather than relying on abstract theory or moralizing from a distance (Nye 2019), he assessed the foreign policy legacies of fourteen U.S. presidents through a structured, case-based framework. His "moral scorecard" evaluated the leaders across three dimensions: their intentions, the means they employed, and the consequences of their actions. The goal was neither to praise nor condemn, but to encourage thoughtful reflection on how ethics and strategic judgment intersect in real-world decision-making.

Nye approached this analysis with nuance, rejecting partisanship and moral absolutism. He commended leaders like Franklin D. Roosevelt and Harry Truman for combining strategic realism with moral purpose, while offering a tempered critique of more recent figures who, in his view, prioritized short-term advantage over enduring legitimacy. Central to the book was Nye's conviction that morality and effectiveness in foreign policy are not in conflict; rather, ethical considerations can reinforce a nation's strategic credibility and enhance its long-term influence.

This work reinforced a key theme running through Nye's later writings: that power, when detached from legitimacy and moral purpose, is ultimately self-defeating. Just as *The Powers to Lead* emphasized emotional and ethical intelligence in personal leadership, *Do Morals Matter?* extended this insight to the presidency itself. Nye challenged the idea that realism and moral reasoning exist in tension, arguing instead that ethical reflection is essential to any wise strategy in a complex and interconnected world.

In doing so, Nye expanded the intellectual terrain of international relations to include questions too often left aside – questions about responsibility, humility, empathy, and restraint. His moral framework did not seek to replace strategic thinking, but to enrich it, grounding power in purpose. In this, as throughout his career, Nye offered a vision of leadership that demanded clarity without cruelty, ambition without arrogance, and strength without blindness to the human stakes of policy.

With these works, Nye emerged not only as an architect of strategic thinking, but as a moral voice within the American foreign policy

establishment – a conscience that reminded power practitioners that choices made in the name of national interest must be accountable to a higher standard.

More than a theorist, Nye was a diagnostic thinker – a diagnostician of American purpose in a time of uncertainty. Above all, Nye believed in the power of ideas. He argued not only that ideas could shape reality, but that they should be tested in it. In a world increasingly marked by polarization, transactionalism, and distrust, his voice remained calm but insistent.

A LIFE IN THE AMERICAN CENTURY: MEMORY, POWER, AND RESPONSIBILITY

In his 2024 memoir, *A Life in the American Century* (Nye 2024), Joseph Nye offered not just a retrospective on a remarkable life, but a meditation on the arc of American power and the burdens of democratic leadership. The book blends the autobiographical with the historical, tracing Nye's journey from a civically minded childhood in New Jersey to the inner corridors of Harvard and Washington, and from Cold War diplomacy to the complexities of a multipolar world. As a scholar-practitioner, Nye occupied a singular vantage point – both shaping and chronicling the rise and recalibration of the American global leadership.

The memoir is deeply personal without being confessional, and expansive without losing its intimacy. Nye reflects on academic rivalries and the evolution of international relations theory, recounts bureaucratic battles in the Defense Department and the intelligence community, and recalls quiet moments of doubt in the face of moral complexity. His travels – from Tokyo to Riyadh, and from Geneva to Beijing – are not merely diplomatic anecdotes, but windows into how power is perceived, negotiated, and misunderstood across cultures.

What gives the book its lasting resonance is not just its narrative sweep, but its tone, which is reflective, modest, and morally engaged. Nye never confuses access with wisdom, or influence with infallibility. Instead, he returns again and again to a set of questions that animated his entire career: What kind of power is worth having? What kind of leadership is worth following? And how should a great nation behave in a world it cannot

control? In a time of growing cynicism, *A Life in the American Century* stands as a quiet affirmation that ideas, institutions, and individuals still matter – and that responsibility, rather than dominance, is the true test of power.

In the end, Joseph Nye did more than theorize the American century. He lived it, shaped it, and reflected on it with a depth of insight that few could match. His final legacy may lie not only in the concepts he coined, but in the intellectual integrity and ethical clarity with which he approached both scholarship and statecraft.

REFERENCES

A

Allison, Graham (2017): *Destined for War: Can America and China Escape Thucydides's Trap*? Boston: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

Allison, Graham T. – Carnesale, Albert – Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (1988): *Fateful Visions: Avoiding Nuclear Catastrophe*. Cambridge: Ballinger Publishing.

K

Keohane, Robert O. – Nye, Joseph S. (1977): *Power and Interdependence: World Politics in Transition*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company.

Keohane, Robert O. – Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (eds.) (1972): *Transnational Relations and World Politics*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Keohane, Robert O. – Nye, Joseph S., Jr. – Hoffmann, Stanley (eds.) (1994): *After the Cold War: International Institutions and State Strategies in Europe*, 1989–1991. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

N

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (1986): *Nuclear Ethics*. New York: Free Press.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (1990): *Bound to Lead: The Changing Nature of American Power*. New York: Basic Books.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (2002): *The Paradox of American Power: Why the World's Only Superpower Can't Go It Alone*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (2004a): *Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics*. New York: PublicAffairs.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (2004b): *Power in the Global Information Age: From Realism to Globalization*. London: Routledge.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (2005): The Rise of China's Soft Power. *The Wall Street Journal Asia*, 29. 11. 2005, <https://www.belfercenter.org/publication/rise-chinas-soft-power>.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (2008): *The Powers to Lead*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (2011): *The Future of Power*. New York: PublicAffairs.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (2015): *Is the American Century Over?* Cambridge: Polity Press.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (2017a): Information Warfare versus Soft Power. *The Strategist*, 26. 4. 2017, Australian Strategic Policy Institute, <https://www.aspistrategist.org.au/information-warfare-versus-soft-power/>.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (2017b): The Kindleberger Trap. *Project Syndicate*, 9. 1. 2017, <https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/trump-china-kindleberger-trap-by-joseph-s--nye-2017-01>.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (2019): What Is a Moral Foreign Policy? *Texas National Security Review*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 96–108, <https://tnsr.org/2019/11/what-is-a-moral-foreign-policy/>.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (2020): *Do Morals Matter? Presidents and Foreign Policy from FDR to Trump*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Nye, Joseph S. (2023): *Soft Power and Great-Power Competition: Shifting Sands in the Balance of Power between the United States and China*. Singapore: Springer Nature, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-0714-4>.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. (2024): *A Life in the American Century*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Nye, Joseph S., Jr. – Biedenkopf, Kurt H. – Shiina, Motoo (1991): *Global Cooperation After the Cold War: A Reassessment of Trilateralism*. The Triangle Papers no. 41. New York: The Trilateral Commission.

Nye, Joseph S. – Welch, David A. (2017): *Understanding Global Conflict and Cooperation: An Introduction to Theory and History*. Tenth edition. Boston: Pearson.

NOTE

This publication originates from work carried out within the ERC Advanced Grant NEWORLDatA: Negotiating World Research Data: A Science Diplomacy Study (Grant Agreement ID: 101021098).

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY

Doubravka Olšáková is a senior researcher at the Institute of Political Studies, the Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague. Her research focuses on the intersection of the history of science and technology, science diplomacy, and environmental history. She is actively involved in academic life and serves on various committees, including the DHST Committee on Science, Technology, and Diplomacy, which she co-founded in 2017, as well as editorial boards such as that of the Oxford University Press book series *Science and Technology in International Affairs*. She is also Deputy Editor-in-Chief of *Centaurus*, the journal of the European Society for the History of Science (ESHS).